The Nexus of Dominion: Analyzing the Synthesis of Seven Mountain Mandate Theology and Zionism in 21st Century U.S. Geopolitics

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

www.youvan.com

May 2, 2026

The intersection of the Seven Mountain Mandate (7MM) and Zionism represents a transformative shift in the American political landscape, moving from traditional interest-group lobbying toward a comprehensive framework of Dominion Theology. In the mid-2020s, this convergence has ceased to be a peripheral movement, instead embedding itself within the highest levels of the executive branch and foreign policy decision-making. By framing the survival and expansion of the State of Israel not merely as a strategic interest but as a prerequisite for spiritual dominion and the fulfillment of biblical prophecy, 7MM adherents have created a potent ideological engine. This paper examines the mechanisms through which these two distinct yet overlapping ideologies have merged, specifically looking at the role of the White House Faith Office under figures like Paula White-Cain and the financial influence of high-net-worth networks like Ziklag. In the context of the 2026 Middle East escalations and the blockade of the Strait of Hormuz, this synthesis has rebranded military maneuvers and economic blockades as acts of spiritual warfare. This critical analysis explores the historical roots, the current institutional manifestations, and the long-term risks this merger poses to secular governance, international stability, and the democratic process in the United States.

Keywords: Seven Mountain Mandate, Zionism, Christian Nationalism, Dominion Theology, New Apostolic Reformation, US Foreign Policy, Middle East Conflict, Paula White-Cain, Ziklag, Geopolitics, Religious Right, Church and State.

A collaboration with Google Gemini-3.1-Thinking. CC4.0.

Outline

1. Introduction: The Marriage of Theology and Geopolitics

2. Historical Foundations: From Christian Zionism to the New Apostolic Reformation

3. The Seven Mountain Mandate: A Blueprint for Societal Takeover

4. Zionism Reimagined: The Spiritual Significance of the Modern State of Israel

5. The Institutionalization of Influence: Paula White-Cain and the White House Faith Office

6. Financing the Mandate: Ziklag and the Rise of the Dominionist Elite

7. The Cyrus Archetype: Divine Anointing in a Secular Political Context

8. The 2026 Iran Crisis: Military Strategy as Spiritual Warfare

9. The Media Mountain: Digital Narratives and Truth Social Propaganda

10. Constitutional Impacts: The Erosion of the Wall of Separation

11. Global Consequences: Diplomatic Isolation and the Rise of Messianic Foreign Policy

12. Conclusion: Assessing the Trajectory of Dominionist Zionism

References

Art

1. Introduction: The Marriage of Theology and Geopolitics

The geopolitical landscape of May 2026 is defined by a profound and unprecedented synthesis of religious dogma and statecraft. In the wake of the recent military escalations across the Middle East and the ongoing naval blockade in the Strait of Hormuz, the traditional boundaries between secular foreign policy and evangelical eschatology have effectively dissolved. At the center of this transformation lies the convergence of two potent ideological currents: the Seven Mountain Mandate and a radicalized, dominion-oriented form of Zionism. This merger has moved beyond the fringes of political discourse to become the primary operating system for the executive branch of the United States government. The 2026 Iran crisis, characterized by high-stakes brinkmanship and the persistent framing of military action as spiritual necessity, serves as the ultimate case study for this new era of religious realism.

The Seven Mountain Mandate provides the structural blueprint for this ideological takeover. By identifying seven key spheres of societal influence—government, family, religion, media, education, business, and entertainment—its proponents have executed a multi-decade strategy to install believers in positions of authority. The goal is not merely to influence policy but to take dominion, transforming the United States into a vessel for what they perceive as the Kingdom of God on Earth. In the current administration, this strategy has reached its zenith. The presence of the White House Faith Office, led by figures who openly espouse these views, ensures that every major policy decision is filtered through a theological lens. Government is no longer viewed as a secular arbiter of competing interests but as a divine tool for cultural and global transformation.

Zionism serves as the essential foreign policy anchor for this domestic mandate. While support for the State of Israel has long been a staple of American politics, the 2026 iteration of this support is qualitatively different. It is no longer rooted primarily in democratic values or regional stability but in a specific interpretation of biblical prophecy that views the survival and territorial expansion of Israel as a non-negotiable prerequisite for divine blessing. For the adherents of the Seven Mountain Mandate, the government mountain is successfully conquered only when it aligns perfectly with the interests of the Jewish state. This alignment has resulted in a foreign policy that prioritizes prophetic fulfillment over international law and traditional diplomatic norms, leading to the current state of perpetual conflict with regional rivals like Iran.

The institutionalization of this synthesis is most visible in the formal role of the White House Faith Office. In earlier administrations, such offices served as outreach centers for diverse religious communities. In 2026, however, the office functions as a doctrinal nerve center. Senior advisors within the office coordinate directly with the Religious Liberty Commission to reshape the federal judiciary and executive departments. This bureaucratic alignment is bolstered by the financial might of the Ziklag network, an invitation-only group of ultra-wealthy Christian donors.

By funding both domestic campaigns to capture school boards and international initiatives to support Israeli settlement expansion, Ziklag provides the capital necessary to sustain the Seven Mountain vision. This combination of executive power and private wealth has created a feedback loop where theological goals are rebranded as national security priorities.

The recent events surrounding the Iran war and the blockade of the Strait of Hormuz illustrate the dangers of this ideological merger. President Donald Trump's public assertions that he is guided by personal morality rather than international law reflect the core tenet of the Cyrus archetype—the belief that a leader is divinely chosen to enact God's will, regardless of their personal flaws or adherence to secular rules. When this archetype is combined with the military and economic might of the United States, the result is a messianic foreign policy that views global stability as secondary to spiritual warfare. The 2026 blockade is not just an attempt to restrict oil flow; it is described in dominionist circles as a strategic blow against demonic strongholds. This paper will explore how this dangerous synthesis was constructed, how it is currently maintained through digital propaganda and institutional capture, and what its continued dominance means for the future of the American republic and the international order.

2. Historical Foundations: From Christian Zionism to the New Apostolic Reformation

The Roots of Dispensationalism and the Scofield Legacy

The ideological bedrock of the current geopolitical synthesis was laid over a century ago through the rise of dispensationalism. This theological framework, popularized by John Nelson Darby and later codified in the Scofield Reference Bible in the early 20th century, fundamentally altered how a significant portion of American Protestants viewed the Middle East. At its core, dispensationalism argued that God had two distinct plans for humanity: one for the Church and one for the nation of Israel. This view posited that the biblical promises made to Abraham regarding the land were literal, eternal, and yet to be fully realized. Prior to this shift, many Christian traditions practiced supersessionism, believing the Church had replaced Israel in God's plan. The Scofield Bible, which became the standard text for the burgeoning fundamentalist movement in the United States, provided a prophetic roadmap that placed the restoration of the Jewish people to their ancestral homeland as the central requirement for the return of Christ. By the mid-20th century, this theology had moved from the seminary to the voting booth, creating a massive constituency that viewed the 1948 establishment of the State of Israel not as a secular political event, but as a miraculous fulfillment of ancient prophecy.

The Cold War and the Rise of the Religious Right

As the Cold War progressed, Christian Zionism became increasingly intertwined with American anticommunism. Organizations such as the Moral Majority, led by Jerry Falwell in the late 1970s and 1980s, began to frame support for Israel as both a biblical duty and a national security imperative. During this era, the relationship between the United States and Israel was often articulated through the lens of shared democratic values, yet beneath the surface, the evangelical support base was driven by an eschatological urgency. This period saw the first major political mobilization of "prophecy-watchers" who believed that defending Israel would ensure that America remained a blessed nation according to the promises found in Genesis. However, this older form of Zionism was largely passive and escapist; its followers were waiting for a rapture to remove them from a declining world, viewing Israel as the clock that would signal the end of human history.

The Emergence of the New Apostolic Reformation

The transition from a passive waiting for the end times to an active pursuit of societal takeover began in the 1990s and early 2000s with the rise of the New Apostolic Reformation. Pioneered by C. Peter Wagner, the New Apostolic Reformation moved away from traditional denominational structures in favor of a network led by modern-day apostles and prophets. Wagner and his contemporaries introduced a radical shift in theology known as Dominionism. Unlike the dispensationalists who expected the world to grow increasingly dark until the rapture, New Apostolic Reformation leaders argued that the Church was tasked with purifying the world and taking authority over its institutions before Christ could return. This shift from an escapist posture to a triumphant, world-conquering one provided the theological foundation for what would eventually be known as the Seven Mountain Mandate. The mandate effectively weaponized the existing Zionist sentiment by transforming it from a defensive posture into an offensive strategy for global influence.

The Synthesis of Dominion and Prophetic Fulfillment

By the 2010s, these two streams—traditional Christian Zionism and New Apostolic Reformation dominionism—began to merge into a singular, potent political force. Figures such as Lance Wallnau began to popularize the idea that the Seven Mountain Mandate could not be fully achieved without a specific type of alignment with Israel. In this new synthesis, Israel was no longer just a prophetic signpost; it was the ultimate strategic partner in the government mountain. This period marked the beginning of the Cyrus archetype in American politics, where secular leaders were identified as divinely appointed instruments to facilitate this dominion. The theological infrastructure was now in place to support a leader who would move the American embassy to Jerusalem, recognize disputed territories, and eventually engage in the 2026

military campaigns against Iran. This historical trajectory reveals that the current state of US-Middle East relations is the result of a deliberate, decades-long evolution from simple biblical interpretation to a sophisticated, institutionalized plan for global dominion.

3. The Seven Mountain Mandate: A Blueprint for Societal Takeover

The Taxonomy of Cultural Dominion The Seven Mountain Mandate operates as a comprehensive strategic framework designed to facilitate the systematic occupation of the key centers of influence within a civilization. Unlike traditional political activism, which seeks to influence policy through consensus and democratic debate, the mandate operates on a logic of displacement and possession. Proponents identify seven distinct mountains or spheres of influence that shape the mindsets and behaviors of a nation: religion, family, education, government, media, arts and entertainment, and business. The fundamental premise of the mandate is that these spheres are currently occupied by hostile or secular forces, and that it is the divine responsibility of the modern-day church to reclaim them. By positioning high-level believers at the summit of each mountain, the movement aims to shift the cultural climate from the top down. In the political reality of May 2026, this taxonomy has moved from an abstract religious theory to a literal checklist for personnel appointments and resource allocation within the executive branch of the United States.

From Influence to Occupation A critical distinction in the Seven Mountain Mandate is the rejection of the servant-leadership model in favor of a dominionist model. Early evangelical movements often focused on being a light within secular institutions, seeking to provide a moral alternative or to win hearts through charitable works. The mandate, however, views the secular world as a battlefield where territorial gain is the primary metric of success. This shift is particularly evident in the treatment of the government mountain. In the current era, the focus has moved beyond single-issue lobbying toward the installation of apostolic leaders who view their bureaucratic roles as religious assignments. These individuals do not see themselves as public servants of a pluralistic society, but as agents of a spiritual kingdom tasked with dismantling secular institutional barriers. This mindset has paved the way for the radical restructuring of federal agencies and the aggressive use of executive orders to bypass legislative gridlock, framing such actions as the removal of demonic strongholds from the seat of power.

Strategic Coordination Across the Spheres The power of the Seven Mountain Mandate lies in its holistic approach to societal change. It recognizes that capturing the government mountain is insufficient if the media, education, and business mountains remain in opposition. This is why the movement emphasizes the cross-pollination of influence. For example, the current administration’s push for patriotic education and the purging of certain literatures from schools

represents a direct assault on the education mountain. Simultaneously, the proliferation of ideologically aligned digital platforms serves to conquer the media mountain. By synchronizing efforts across these spheres, the movement creates a self-reinforcing echo chamber. This is seen in the way the White House Faith Office coordinates with high-net-worth business networks, such as Ziklag, to fund projects that reinforce dominionist themes across entertainment and commerce. This integrated strategy ensures that the ideological shift is not just political, but cultural and economic, making it increasingly difficult to reverse through traditional electoral means.

The Eschatological Urgency of Domain Conquest At the heart of this blueprint is an intense sense of eschatological urgency. Adherents believe they are living in a unique window of time where the gates of hell are being breached through their political and cultural victories. This belief creates a high-stakes environment where compromise is viewed as spiritual treason. The mandate posits that as each mountain is reclaimed, the world moves closer to a tipping point that will trigger a global harvest of souls and the return of Christ. This theological engine drives the relentless pace of policy changes seen in the current administration. Whether it is the deregulation of the business mountain or the aggressive posture of the government mountain in the Middle East, every action is framed as a necessary step toward establishing the Kingdom. This framing provides a powerful moral justification for actions that might otherwise be seen as authoritarian, as they are ultimately viewed as serving a higher divine purpose in the endgame of human history.

4. Zionism Reimagined: The Spiritual Significance of the Modern State of Israel

The Shift from Geopolitics to Eschatology

In the current political climate of 2026, Zionism has undergone a fundamental theological rebranding. While historical Zionism was primarily a secular movement focused on the self-determination and safety of the Jewish people, the version now championed within the halls of U.S. power is rooted in a specific, high-stakes eschatology. Under the influence of the Seven Mountain Mandate, the State of Israel is no longer viewed merely as a democratic ally or a strategic foothold in a volatile region. Instead, it is treated as a spiritual barometer for the entire planet. Proponents argue that the physical boundaries and political sovereignty of Israel are the primary gears in a divine clock. This reimagined Zionism posits that the "restoration" of Israel is not complete until all biblical territories are under Jewish control and the cultural influence of the church is total. Consequently, diplomatic nuances that once defined U.S. policy—such as the two-state solution or the status of East Jerusalem—have been discarded in favor of a binary view where any concession is seen as an affront to God.

Israel as the Ultimate Government Mountain

Within the Seven Mountain framework, the "Government Mountain" is considered the most critical to conquer, and Israel is seen as the central axis of that mountain. This perspective suggests that the spiritual health of the United States is directly tied to its legislative and military alignment with Israel. In 2026, this has manifested as a "zero-tolerance" foreign policy toward any regional actor that challenges Israeli hegemony. The 2026 war with Iran is not just a conflict over nuclear proliferation or regional influence in the eyes of dominionist advisors; it is a spiritual crusade to protect the "Apple of God's Eye." By framing Israel as the ultimate government priority, 7MM adherents have successfully shifted the debate from "what is in America's best interest?" to "what is required to fulfill biblical mandate?" This shift effectively silences secular dissent by labeling political opposition as spiritual rebellion.

The Convergence of the Two Houses

A key component of this reimagined Zionism is the concept of the "One New Man," a theological bridge that attempts to fuse Jewish identity with charismatic Christian practice. This movement argues that the "mountain-taking" mission of the church and the national destiny of Israel are one and the same. This has led to an unprecedented level of cooperation between U.S. political figures and the most hardline elements of the Israeli settler movement. The spiritual significance of the land is used to justify the annexation of the West Bank and the aggressive posturing in Lebanon. For the 7MM-aligned politician, supporting Israel is not just about foreign aid; it is about participating in a cosmic drama. The modern State of Israel is reimagined as the staging ground for the final "Mountain" of God's Kingdom, where the secular world will finally be superseded by a global theocratic order.

Prophecy as a Policy Driver in 2026

The reimagining of Zionism has turned biblical prophecy into a literal policy manual for the current administration. When the White House Faith Office advises on Middle Eastern affairs, they are not looking at traditional intelligence reports alone; they are cross-referencing them with prophetic interpretations of the "End Times." This has led to the normalization of rhetoric that would have been unthinkable in previous decades, such as the open discussion of the "Third Temple" and the "red heifer" rituals as matters of state interest. This prophetic lens creates a dangerous feedback loop: policy is crafted to trigger prophetic fulfillment, and when conflict inevitably arises—such as the blockade of the Strait of Hormuz—it is taken as evidence that the policy was divinely inspired. In this environment, Zionism is no longer a political stance but a foundational requirement for anyone wishing to hold influence within the "Government Mountain."

5. The Institutionalization of Influence: Paula White-Cain and the White House Faith Office

The Creation of the West Wing Faith Office

In February 2025, the executive branch underwent a structural realignment that fundamentally altered the relationship between church and state in the United States. Unlike previous iterations of faith-based initiatives that operated out of the Department of Health and Human Services or as secondary outreach hubs, the newly established White House Faith Office was placed directly within the West Wing. This strategic positioning ensures that religious advisors have immediate access to the president and a permanent seat at the table during the formulation of both domestic and foreign policy. The office is not merely a symbolic gesture to the evangelical base; it is a doctrinal nerve center designed to ensure that the government mountain is governed by what proponents call Judeo-Christian principles. By housing this office within the Domestic Policy Council, the administration has institutionalized a mechanism through which theological mandates can be translated into executive orders, personnel appointments, and budgetary priorities.

Paula White-Cain: The Senior Advisor and Apostolic Bridge

The appointment of Paula White-Cain as the Senior Advisor to the White House Faith Office represents the culmination of a decades-long effort to bring charismatic and dominionist theology into the center of American power. Often described as the primary spiritual advisor to Donald Trump, White-Cain serves as the apostolic bridge between the presidency and the New Apostolic Reformation networks. Her role in 2026 extends far beyond traditional chaplaincy. She acts as a high-level diplomat for the dominionist movement, traveling to religious centers—such as her April 2026 visit to the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints headquarters—to build a broad, multi-faith coalition around the pillars of the Seven Mountain Mandate. Her rhetoric often blurs the line between the secular and the divine, most notably during the 2026 Easter celebrations where she drew direct parallels between the political trials of the president and the passion of Christ. This framing reinforces the Cyrus archetype, positioning the president as a divinely protected figure whose political survival is synonymous with the spiritual survival of the nation.

The Religious Liberty Commission and Bureaucratic Realignment

To give the Faith Office's theological objectives the force of law, the administration established the Religious Liberty Commission in May 2025. Led by prominent figures such as Dan Patrick and Ben Carson, this commission is tasked with identifying and eradicating what it terms anti-Christian bias across all federal agencies. In practice, the commission serves as a powerful tool for bureaucratic realignment, purging departments of DEI initiatives and secular regulations that are deemed hostile to the dominionist agenda. The commission works in tandem with the

Department of Justice to protect pastors and houses of worship from the perceived threats of the Johnson Amendment, effectively allowing religious institutions to engage in direct political campaigning without losing their tax-exempt status. As the commission approaches its scheduled termination date on July 4, 2026—the 250th anniversary of the United States—there is significant pressure to extend its mandate indefinitely, making it a permanent fixture of American governance.

Strategic Integration with the 7MM Framework

The White House Faith Office serves as the operational headquarters for the government mountain within the Seven Mountain Mandate. Every action taken by this office is designed to reinforce the other six mountains of influence. For example, the office's collaboration with the Task Force to Eradicate Anti-Christian Bias directly influences the education mountain by promoting curricula that emphasize the religious foundations of American exceptionalism. Similarly, its coordination with high-net-worth donor networks like Ziklag ensures that the business mountain remains aligned with the administration's spiritual goals. By integrating these efforts, the Faith Office has created a self-sustaining ecosystem of influence where religious dogma, private capital, and state power converge. This institutionalization ensures that even in the event of a future change in administration, the structures of dominionist influence will be deeply embedded within the federal bureaucracy, making the pursuit of a secular or pluralistic governance increasingly difficult.

6. Financing the Mandate: Ziklag and the Rise of the Dominionist Elite

The Business Mountain and the Sanctification of Wealth

The Seven Mountain Mandate recognizes the Business Mountain as the primary engine for funding the conquest of the other six mountains. In this theological framework, wealth is not merely a personal blessing but a weapon of spiritual warfare. By 2026, a new class of dominionist elites has emerged, viewing their capital as kingdom resources destined to displace secular financial systems. This movement has moved beyond traditional tithing to a model of strategic investment in political and social infrastructure. The philosophy underpinning this shift is that for the Kingdom of God to be established on Earth, the wealth of the world must be transferred into the hands of those aligned with apostolic leadership. This sanctification of extreme wealth allows for the justification of aggressive market maneuvers and the dismantling of regulatory states, as these actions are framed as liberating resources from the control of the ungodly. The Business Mountain thus provides the liquid capital necessary to sustain the prolonged legal and political battles required to capture the government and media mountains.

The Ziklag Network: Strategic Philanthropy for Dominion

At the center of this financial ecosystem is Ziklag, a highly secretive and invitation-only network composed of individuals with a minimum net worth of twenty-five million dollars. Named after the biblical city where David sought refuge and prepared to take his throne, Ziklag functions as a venture capital firm for Christian nationalist and Zionist initiatives. By 2026, the organization's influence has become ubiquitous within the American political landscape. Ziklag does not simply donate to candidates; it funds specific "mountain-taking" projects. These include the massive "voter cleansing" operations seen in early 2025 and the funding of specialized legal teams that draft model legislation for state houses across the country. The group operates with a level of coordination that traditional political action committees cannot match, largely because its members view their political spending as a divine mandate rather than a partisan preference. This sense of religious duty ensures a consistency of funding that allows for decades-long strategic planning, far exceeding the typical two-year or four-year election cycle.

Funding the Zionist Frontier: Settlements and Aliyah

The financial reach of the dominionist elite extends far beyond the borders of the United States, with a significant portion of capital flowing directly into the State of Israel. This funding is specifically targeted toward projects that accelerate prophetic timelines, such as the expansion of settlements in the West Bank and the facilitation of Aliyah, or the return of the Jewish diaspora to Israel. For the members of Ziklag and similar networks, these investments are seen as fulfilling the Genesis mandate to bless Israel to ensure America's own prosperity. By bypassing traditional diplomatic channels and directly funding Israeli NGOs and infrastructure projects, these donors exert a level of influence over Israeli domestic policy that rivals that of sovereign states. In the context of the 2026 conflict, this private funding has been essential in sustaining the civilian and paramilitary infrastructure required to maintain control over disputed territories while the official military focus shifted toward the Iranian front.

The Privatization of Foreign Policy through Kingdom Capital

The synthesis of 7MM theology and private wealth has resulted in what can only be described as the privatization of American foreign policy. When groups like Ziklag fund the "Faith Office" initiatives or provide the logistics for non-governmental diplomatic missions, they are effectively creating a shadow State Department. This shadow bureaucracy is not accountable to Congress or the American electorate; it is accountable only to the apostolic leaders who guide the donors. In 2026, this has manifested in the creation of private-public partnerships that manage "spiritual security" in the Middle East, where private donors fund the digital surveillance and propaganda tools used to counter Iranian influence. This convergence of high-finance, religious fervor, and executive power has created a paradigm where the national interest of the United

States is increasingly indistinguishable from the theological interests of a handful of billionaire donors. The rise of this dominionist elite represents a profound challenge to the democratic ideal of a government that serves all citizens, as the levers of power are increasingly operated by those who believe they answer to a higher, and much more exclusive, authority.

7. The Cyrus Archetype: Divine Anointing in a Secular Political Context

The Biblical Precedent: Isaiah 45 and the Gentile Deliverer

The theological cornerstone that allows the Seven Mountain Mandate to interface with secular political power is the archetype of King Cyrus the Great. As recorded in the forty-fifth chapter of the Book of Isaiah, Cyrus was a Persian king who, despite not being a follower of the God of Israel, was described as being anointed by God to subdue nations and facilitate the return of the Jewish people to Jerusalem to rebuild their temple. This biblical narrative provides a crucial precedent for dominionist theologians: the idea that God can use a secular, "unlikely" leader as an instrument of divine will. In the 2020s, this archetype has been meticulously mapped onto the American presidency. By casting the head of state as a modern-day Cyrus, 7MM proponents have created a theological workaround that bypasses traditional religious requirements for leadership. The leader is not required to be a paragon of personal virtue or a theological scholar; they simply must be a "vessel" that executes the specific goals of the mandate, particularly those concerning the protection and exaltation of Israel.

The Wallnau Thesis: Prophesying a Modern Cyrus

The popularization of this archetype in contemporary U.S. politics can be traced directly to Lance Wallnau, a primary architect of the Seven Mountain Mandate. In 2016, Wallnau claimed to have received a divine revelation that the forty-fifth president would mirror the forty-fifth chapter of Isaiah. This prophecy served as the ideological bridge that allowed millions of evangelical voters to reconcile their personal moral values with the support of a candidate whose lifestyle and rhetoric often stood in stark contrast to traditional Christian ethics. By 2026, this thesis has evolved from a campaign talking point into a foundational doctrine of the executive branch. The Cyrus label functions as a form of "prophetic immunity," shielding the leader from internal criticism and framing any opposition as an attempt to thwart a divine plan. This has led to a political environment where policy decisions are often justified through "prophetic insight" rather than empirical evidence or public consensus, further solidifying the hold of the Seven Mountain Mandate over the government mountain.

Moral Pragmatism and the "Flawed Vessel" Argument

The Cyrus archetype has institutionalized a form of moral pragmatism that is central to the current administration's stability. Within the 7MM framework, the personal character of the leader is secondary to their strategic utility in capturing the mountains of influence. This "flawed vessel" argument posits that God deliberately chooses disruptive, non-traditional figures to "break" the existing secular structures—the "Deep State" or the "Babylonian system"—that supposedly hold the nation captive. This perspective is vital for maintaining the coalition of Zionists and dominionists in 2026. When the president issues aggressive decrees via Truth Social or engages in confrontational rhetoric with global allies, his supporters do not see a breakdown in diplomacy; they see a divinely appointed wrecking ball clearing the path for the Kingdom. This belief system effectively removes the leader from the sphere of secular accountability, as his actions are interpreted through a lens of spiritual warfare where traditional norms of decorum and legality are viewed as hindrances.

The Decree as Policy: Truth Social and the Voice of Anointing

In the current geopolitical landscape, the president's use of digital platforms like Truth Social has taken on a pseudo-religious significance. For adherents of the Seven Mountain Mandate, these posts are not merely political communications; they are viewed as "decrees" issued by an anointed leader. This interpretation aligns with the New Apostolic Reformation's emphasis on the "power of the tongue" and the ability of apostolic figures to "speak things into being." When the president posts about the 2026 blockade of the Strait of Hormuz or issues threats against the Iranian regime, 7MM followers interpret these messages as spiritual commands that shift the atmospheric conditions of the government mountain. This has created a unique feedback loop where the president’s digital persona reinforces his status as Cyrus, and his supporters respond with a level of fervor that transcends partisan loyalty, seeing themselves as a "spiritual army" tasked with defending the anointed leader from his detractors.

The Geopolitical Mandate: Cyrus and the Protection of Israel

The ultimate test of the Cyrus archetype lies in the leader's commitment to the Zionist cause. Just as the historical Cyrus facilitated the rebuilding of the Temple in Jerusalem, the modern Cyrus is expected to ensure the total sovereignty and security of Israel as a precursor to the end times. Every major Middle Eastern policy shift—from the recognition of Israeli sovereignty over disputed territories to the 2026 military strikes against Iranian infrastructure—is framed as a fulfillment of this specific Cyrus mandate. This creates a foreign policy that is remarkably rigid and resistant to traditional diplomatic pressure. In the minds of dominionist advisors, to waver in support of Israel is to fail the primary test of the Cyrus anointing. Consequently, the United States' role in the 2026 Middle East conflict is driven by a theological imperative that views

Israeli military dominance as a non-negotiable spiritual requirement, ensuring that the government mountain remains firmly aligned with the interests of a messianic Zionism.

8. The 2026 Iran Crisis: Military Strategy as Spiritual Warfare

The 2026 crisis with Iran represents the first major global conflict where the strategic objectives of the United States were articulated through the explicit lexicon of the Seven Mountain Mandate. While traditional military analysts viewed the escalation as a response to Iranian nuclear progress and regional proxy activity, the inner circles of the White House Faith Office and their 7MM allies framed the war as an essential campaign of spiritual cleansing. The transition from diplomatic containment to direct kinetic engagement was not merely a shift in defense policy; it was the manifestation of a theological belief that the government mountain must actively dismantle demonic strongholds that threaten the restoration of Israel. In this context, the theater of war became a sanctuary, and the tools of military might were repurposed as instruments of divine judgment.

The Strait of Hormuz: A Physical and Spiritual Chokepoint

The naval blockade of the Strait of Hormuz, which reached its peak intensity in March 2026, serves as the primary example of how military maneuvers are now interpreted as spiritual acts. For secular observers, the closure of the strait was a catastrophic economic event that threatened the stability of global energy markets. For the dominionist architects of current US policy, however, the strait was seen as a spiritual gateway. They argued that the Iranian regime's control over this passage was a physical manifestation of a spiritual blockage preventing the flow of kingdom resources and the security of the Holy Land. The US Navy's presence in the Persian Gulf was described by 7MM influencers not as a standard freedom-of-navigation operation, but as a righteous occupation of the business and government mountains. By blockading Iranian exports, the administration sought to starve the "beast system" of the resources it required to challenge the Cyrus-led order, turning economic warfare into a form of sanctified discipline.

Territorial Spirits and the Breaking of the Prince of Persia

The 2026 military campaign was heavily influenced by the New Apostolic Reformation's theology of territorial spirits. Drawing from the tenth chapter of the Book of Daniel, 7MM leaders frequently referred to the Iranian leadership as the modern embodiment of the Prince of Persia, a high-ranking demonic entity assigned to resist the plans of God for Israel. This theology shifted the focus of the war away from national interests and toward the goal of "breaking the atmosphere" over the Middle East. Strategic airstrikes against Iranian command centers were celebrated in 7MM circles as successful strikes against the demonic hierarchy

itself. This perspective fundamentally altered the rules of engagement; because the enemy was viewed as inherently evil and spiritually bound, the concept of a negotiated peace became secondary to the goal of total spiritual victory. The Pakistan-brokered ceasefire of April 2026 was thus viewed by dominionists not as a diplomatic success, but as a temporary pause in a cosmic battle that can only end with the total submission of the Iranian mountain.

The Sheep Nation Doctrine and the Cost of Allegiance

Central to the 2026 crisis was the application of the Sheep Nation doctrine, a biblical interpretation suggesting that nations will be judged based on their treatment of the Jewish people and the State of Israel. Within the framework of the Seven Mountain Mandate, this doctrine serves as the ultimate litmus test for US foreign policy. The war with Iran was presented as the moment where America definitively chose its status as a sheep nation. By prioritizing Israeli security over global economic stability and traditional alliances, the administration signaled that its primary allegiance was to a prophetic mandate rather than a secular global order. This commitment has had profound geopolitical costs, including the alienation of traditional European allies and the further polarization of the United Nations. However, for the proponents of the 7MM-Zionist synthesis, these costs are viewed as a necessary sacrifice. They believe that the divine favor resulting from this alignment will ultimately outweigh any economic or diplomatic fallout, leading to a supernatural restoration of American dominance.

Apostolic Intelligence: The Role of Prophetic Insight in Naval Engagement

Perhaps the most radical development of the 2026 conflict was the integration of what some have called apostolic intelligence into military decision-making. Reports have surfaced suggesting that the timing of specific naval deployments and drone strikes was influenced by prophetic words delivered to the White House Faith Office. These insights, often shared through private networks and later hinted at on Truth Social, provided a sense of divine certainty to military leaders who were otherwise navigating a fog of war. This use of prophecy as a source of strategic intelligence represents the final conquest of the government mountain, where the traditional mechanisms of statecraft—intelligence gathering, risk assessment, and diplomatic signaling—are superseded by the perceived direct communication from the divine. For the critic, this represents a dangerous descent into irrationality; for the 7MM adherent, it is the ultimate fulfillment of a government that is truly aligned with the mind of God, ensuring that the 2026 Iran crisis will be remembered as the moment the American military became the vanguard of a global theocratic movement.

9. The Media Mountain: Digital Narratives and Truth Social Propaganda

The Siege of the Fourth Estate

The conquest of the media mountain is perhaps the most visible and aggressive component of the Seven Mountain Mandate strategy in 2026. For decades, dominionist theologians have argued that the mainstream press—often referred to as the Babylonian media—functions as a gatekeeper of secularism, preventing the spread of the kingdom's message. In the current political era, the strategy has shifted from attempting to influence traditional outlets to building an entirely parallel information ecosystem. This new media mountain is not designed for objective reporting or pluralistic debate; it is built for the dissemination of prophetic narratives and the reinforcement of the government mountain's authority. By delegitimizing established journalistic norms as fake or demonic, the movement has successfully funneled millions of citizens into a digital enclave where the presidency's decrees and the Zionist mission are presented as the only verifiable reality.

Truth Social as the Apostolic Pulpit

Central to this parallel ecosystem is Truth Social, which by 2026 has evolved from a fledgling social media site into the primary source of information for the dominionist coalition. On this platform, the traditional separation between state communication and religious decree has evaporated. The president's posts are treated by 7MM adherents as more than just political updates; they are seen as apostolic declarations that shape the spiritual atmosphere of the nation. During the height of the 2026 Iran crisis, Truth Social served as the command center for a narrative that framed the naval blockade and airstrikes not as strategic choices, but as biblical inevitabilities. Each post, often punctuated with references to nuclear dust or the divine protection of Israel, is amplified by a network of digital apostles who provide theological commentary, turning every presidential statement into a sermon. This has created a hermetically sealed environment where the followers of the mandate are insulated from any information that might contradict the administration's prophetic trajectory.

The Weaponization of Eschatological Propaganda

The media mountain's primary output in 2026 is eschatological propaganda—content specifically designed to align current events with end-times prophecy. This is most evident in the coverage of the war in the Middle East. While mainstream international outlets focus on civilian casualties or global oil prices, the 7MM-aligned media outlets focus on the symbolic victory over the Prince of Persia and the nearing completion of the Third Temple. This narrative framing serves a vital political function: it transforms potential scandals or military setbacks into spiritual tests. For example, when the 2026 blockade led to a spike in domestic energy prices, the digital narrative quickly pivoted to frame the economic hardship as a form of sanctified

sacrifice necessary to bless Israel. By controlling the interpretation of events through this prophetic lens, the movement ensures that its base remains mobilized and supportive, regardless of the material consequences of the administration's policies.

The Rise of the Digital Apostle and the Influencer Class

The architecture of the media mountain is supported by a new class of digital apostles—influencers who blend political commentary with charismatic prophecy. These figures operate across multiple platforms, but their content is synthesized through the centralized hub of the current administration's messaging. In 2026, these influencers have largely replaced traditional clergy as the primary source of moral and political guidance for the 7MM base. They utilize sophisticated algorithms to reach younger demographics, rebranding dominionist theology as a revolutionary and counter-cultural movement against the secular establishment. Their role is to provide the grassroots energy for the top-down directives coming from the White House Faith Office. By maintaining a constant stream of high-energy, high-stakes content, they keep their followers in a state of perpetual readiness, ensuring that the media mountain remains a formidable barrier against any secular counter-narrative.

Digital Sovereignty and the Disruption of Dissent

The ultimate goal of capturing the media mountain is the achievement of digital sovereignty—a state where the administration's narrative is the only one with perceived legitimacy among the faithful. This has been facilitated by the systematic targeting of dissenting voices on digital platforms, often under the guise of protecting religious liberty or combatting anti-Christian bias. In 2026, the collaboration between the White House Faith Office and tech entrepreneurs within the Ziklag network has led to the creation of decentralized servers and communication channels that are resistant to secular moderation. This infrastructure allows for the unhindered spread of the most radical interpretations of the Seven Mountain Mandate and Zionism. As the 2026 Iran war continues to simmer, this captured media mountain ensures that a significant and well-funded portion of the population views the conflict not through the eyes of a citizen, but through the eyes of a spiritual warrior committed to a messianic global order.

10. Constitutional Impacts: The Erosion of the Wall of Separation

The Reinterpretation of the Establishment Clause

The most significant constitutional shift in the mid-2020s has been the systematic reinterpretation of the First Amendment's Establishment Clause. For over two centuries, the dominant legal metaphor for church-state relations in the United States was Thomas Jefferson's wall of separation—a principle intended to protect the wilderness of the state from the

corruption of the church and vice versa. However, under the influence of the Seven Mountain Mandate, this wall has been reframed as a secularist prison that illegally excludes God from the government mountain. In the 2026 legal landscape, the administration's judicial appointments have successfully moved the goalposts from a doctrine of state neutrality to one of religious privilege. By arguing that the Founding Fathers intended the United States to be a covenanted Christian nation, dominionist legal theorists have begun to dismantle the precedents that once restricted the use of public funds and government authority for sectarian purposes. This shift is not merely a legal technicality; it is a fundamental reconfiguration of the American social contract, where the rights of the individual are increasingly subordinate to the perceived spiritual mandate of the nation.

Executive Order 14291 and the Religious Liberty Commission

The institutional weapon for this constitutional overhaul is the Religious Liberty Commission, established by Executive Order 14291 in May 2025. Chaired by prominent dominionist figures such as Dan Patrick and Ben Carson, the commission is tasked with identifying and eradicating what it labels as anti-Christian bias within the federal government. In practice, this commission operates as a constitutional strike team, providing the legal justification for executive actions that would have previously been struck down as violations of the separation of church and state. By redefining religious liberty as the right of the church to dominate the cultural mountains, the commission has paved the way for policies that allow faith-based organizations to receive federal contracts while openly discriminating against non-adherents. The commission's scheduled termination on July 4, 2026—the 250th anniversary of the United States—is being messianically framed by 7MM proponents as a day of national re-covenanting, where the original secular foundations of the republic are symbolically replaced by a theocratic framework.

The Erosion of the No Religious Test Clause

Article VI of the Constitution specifies that no religious test shall ever be required as a qualification to any office or public trust under the United States. In 2026, while the text remains, the practical application of this clause has been significantly eroded. Through the vetting processes of the White House Faith Office and the influential Ziklag network, a de facto religious test has been implemented for high-level bureaucratic and judicial appointments. Potential officials are increasingly evaluated based on their alignment with the Seven Mountain Mandate and their commitment to a Zionist-centered foreign policy. This shadow vetting process ensures that the levers of the government mountain are held exclusively by those who view their secular duties as spiritual assignments. The result is a government that no longer represents the pluralistic reality of the American electorate, but instead functions as a coordinated body of believers working to fulfill a specific theological agenda.

The Judiciary as a Tool for Dominion

The capture of the judicial branch represents the most enduring constitutional impact of the 7MM-Zionist synthesis. By prioritizing the appointment of judges who subscribe to a radical form of originalism, the movement has successfully created a legal environment where dominionist aims are shielded by constitutional rhetoric. These judges often frame their decisions as a return to the moral order of the 18th century, ignoring the secular and pluralistic evolution of the law over the subsequent two hundred years. In cases ranging from reproductive rights to the 2026 blockade of the Strait of Hormuz, the courts have increasingly deferred to the executive branch's claims of spiritual necessity and national security. This judicial deference creates a dangerous precedent where the constitution is no longer a check on power, but a tool for its expansion. For those who oppose the Seven Mountain Mandate, the transformation of the judiciary represents the loss of the final secular safeguard, as the very arbiters of the law have become the vanguard of the dominionist movement.

Pluralism in Peril: The Rise of a Theocratic Legal Framework

The ultimate consequence of these constitutional impacts is the gradual displacement of American pluralism with a theocratic legal framework. As the wall of separation continues to erode, the distinction between civil law and biblical decree becomes increasingly blurred. In the 2026 political reality, policies regarding education, media regulation, and Middle Eastern intervention are justified through a combination of executive authority and prophetic interpretation. This shift alienates millions of Americans who do not subscribe to the 7MM or Zionist ideologies, creating a growing sense of disenfranchisement and civil unrest. By subordinating the democratic process to a spiritual mandate, the movement risks undermining the stability of the republic itself. The erosion of constitutional norms in favor of dominionist goals suggests that the United States is moving toward a future where the rule of law is defined not by the consent of the governed, but by the convictions of those who believe they have conquered the mountain of government for God.

11. Global Consequences: Diplomatic Isolation and the Rise of Messianic Foreign Policy

The Erosion of the Transatlantic Alliance and the Rise of Religious Realism

The year 2026 has witnessed the most significant rift in the transatlantic alliance since the end of the Second World War. As the United States, driven by the ideological mandates of the Seven Mountain Mandate and a hardline Zionist agenda, moved toward kinetic engagement with Iran, its traditional European allies largely withdrew their support. The April 2026 confrontation between the American presidency and German Chancellor Friedrich Merz serves as a definitive marker of this split. When Merz critiqued the lack of a strategic exit from the Iranian conflict,

the response from Washington was not one of diplomatic negotiation but of spiritual condemnation. This shift characterizes the transition from traditional realpolitik to what scholars are now calling religious realism—a foreign policy that prioritizes prophetic alignment over long-standing treaty obligations. Within the 7MM framework, European nations that insist on secularism and multilateralism are increasingly viewed as goat nations, destined for spiritual and political irrelevance unless they align with the messianic trajectory of the United States.

Multilateralism as a Babylonian Construct

Under the current administration, international organizations such as the United Nations, the International Criminal Court, and the World Health Organization have been explicitly rebranded as components of a Babylonian globalist system. From the perspective of the Seven Mountain Mandate, these institutions are occupied by territorial spirits that seek to restrain the sovereignty of the church and the destiny of Israel. This has led to a policy of systematic withdrawal and defundment, as seen in the United States' refusal to participate in the UN-led negotiations regarding the 2026 Strait of Hormuz crisis. Instead of seeking a collective security solution, the US has pursued a unilateral "Kingdom Mandate," asserting its authority over the seas as a divine right rather than a legal one. This rejection of the post-war international order has left the United States diplomatically isolated, but for the adherents of the mandate, this isolation is interpreted as a badge of spiritual purity and a necessary step in the "taking of the mountains."

The Emergence of the Messianic Bloc

As the United States drifts away from traditional Western alliances, it has begun to forge a new and unconventional messianic bloc. This coalition is not defined by shared democratic values or economic systems but by a common alignment with the administration’s Zionist and dominionist goals. The unexpected withdrawal of the United Arab Emirates from OPEC on May 1, 2026, and its subsequent pivot toward a direct energy and security partnership with the US, illustrates this shift. This nascent bloc prioritizes the "Abrahamic" narrative, fusing high-finance, religious identity, and absolute executive power. This new grouping of nations operates outside the constraints of traditional international law, relying instead on bilateral agreements that are often mediated by the White House Faith Office. In this environment, foreign aid and military protection are contingent on a nation's "spiritual resume," creating a transactional and highly volatile global landscape.

The Rejection of International Law in Favor of Kingdom Jurisdiction

The rise of a messianic foreign policy has necessitated a complete rejection of the jurisdiction of international courts. When the International Court of Justice issued warnings regarding the potential humanitarian consequences of the 2026 naval blockade, the American response was

to assert a higher "Kingdom Law." Legal advisors aligned with the 7MM argue that the directives found in biblical prophecy supersede the treaties signed in Geneva or The Hague. This has led to a situation where the United States and Israel act with total legal impunity, viewing international law as a secular shackle designed to prevent the fulfillment of God's plan. This judicial unilateralism has created a vacuum in global governance, where the rule of force—sanctified by religious rhetoric—replaces the rule of law. The 2026 conflict is therefore seen not just as a war of territory or resources, but as a jurisdictional war where the authority of secular humanism is being forcibly replaced by a theocratic mandate.

Global Civil Unrest and the Polarization of the Diaspora

The domestic consequences of this messianic foreign policy are being felt in major cities across the globe. The 2026 Iran war and the aggressive Zionist posturing of the United States have triggered unprecedented levels of civil unrest and polarization, particularly among the global diaspora. In countries that have remained secular or pluralistic, the US-led "crusade" is viewed with extreme hostility, leading to frequent protests and the targeting of US interests. Within the United States, this polarization has resulted in a society deeply divided between those who view the war as a righteous necessity and those who see it as a catastrophic betrayal of democratic principles. This internal and external pressure has further fueled the administration's siege mentality, reinforcing the belief that the "Media Mountain" and "Government Mountain" must be defended at all costs against the "demonic" forces of dissent. The trajectory of 2026 suggests that the marriage of theology and geopolitics is leading toward a world of fragmented sovereignty, where the only path to peace is total submission to the prevailing messianic order.

12. Conclusion: Assessing the Trajectory of Dominionist Zionism

The End of the Secular Consensus

As the United States approaches the middle of 2026, the synthesis of the Seven Mountain Mandate and Zionism has moved from a tactical alliance to a foundational governing philosophy. The traditional secular consensus, which once prioritized pluralism and the separation of religious dogma from statecraft, has been effectively hollowed out. What has replaced it is a form of dominionist Zionism that views the American government not as a representative body of its citizens, but as a divine instrument for the fulfillment of an eschatological agenda. The 2026 Iran crisis and the resulting blockade of the Strait of Hormuz are not merely geopolitical anomalies; they are the logical conclusion of a foreign policy that treats military escalation as a spiritual necessity. This movement has successfully rebranded American exceptionalism as a covenanted duty, suggesting that the nation's survival is

contingent upon its role as the primary protector and enforcer of a specific, prophetic vision for the State of Israel.

Institutional Permanence and the Shadow State

The trajectory of this movement suggests that it is no longer dependent on the charisma of a single political figure. Through the institutionalization of the White House Faith Office and the strategic deployment of the Religious Liberty Commission, the infrastructure of dominion has been woven into the fabric of the federal bureaucracy. The role of the Ziklag network and other high-net-worth donor groups has ensured that the business mountain provides a permanent financial floor for these initiatives, insulating them from the volatility of traditional political cycles. Even in the face of widespread domestic opposition and record-low approval ratings for the ongoing Middle Eastern interventions, the movement maintains its grip on the levers of power by framing dissent as a spiritual threat to the government mountain. This creation of a shadow state, guided by apostolic intelligence and prophetic decree, represents a permanent shift in how American power is exercised both at home and abroad.

The 250th Anniversary and the Myth of Re-Covenanting

The upcoming 250th anniversary of the United States on July 4, 2026, is being prepared by the administration and its 7MM allies as a symbolic turning point for the nation. Rather than a celebration of Enlightenment values and democratic progress, the rhetoric surrounding the event points toward a ritual of national re-covenanting. Proponents of the Seven Mountain Mandate argue that the first 250 years were a mere prelude to this moment, where the nation finally sheds its secular pretenses and embraces its identity as a sheep nation. This narrative utilizes the media mountain to create a sense of historical inevitability, suggesting that the current military and economic hardships are the birth pangs of a new, theocratic era. For those who oppose this merger, the anniversary serves as a stark reminder of the erosion of the constitutional wall that once protected the American experiment from the very religious entanglements it now celebrates.

A Precarious Future for Global Stability

The long-term consequences of dominionist Zionism are likely to be defined by increasing global instability and the fragmentation of the international order. By rejecting multilateralism and international law in favor of a kingdom mandate, the United States has accelerated the decline of its own diplomatic influence. The rise of a messianic bloc and the alienation of European allies suggest a future where global conflicts are no longer managed through negotiation, but through a cycle of prophetic brinkmanship. As long as the government mountain remains occupied by those who believe their primary duty is to trigger an end-times scenario, the risk of miscalculation in theaters like the Persian Gulf remains dangerously high. The 2026 crisis has

shown that when theology drives geopolitics, there is no room for a strategic exit—only for total victory or total catastrophe.

The Resilience of Pluralism and the Challenge Ahead

Ultimately, the future of the American republic depends on whether its pluralistic foundations can survive the current siege of the mountains. While the Seven Mountain Mandate has successfully captured key institutions, the underlying diversity of the American population remains a significant barrier to the total implementation of a theocratic order. The civil unrest and political polarization of 2026 reflect a nation in deep conflict with its own identity. The challenge for those who oppose the merger of Zionism and dominionism is to articulate a counter-narrative that reaffirms the value of a secular state and a rational foreign policy. As the 2026 Iran war continues and the administration pushes toward its July 4th milestone, the tension between theocratic ambition and democratic reality will likely reach a breaking point, determining the trajectory of the United States for the remainder of the century.

13. References

1. Wallnau, L., & Johnson, B. (2013). Invading Babylon: The 7 Mountain Mandate. Destiny Image Publishers.
2. Kroll, A. (2024, July 13). Inside Ziklag, the Secret Organization of Wealthy Christians Trying to Sway the Election and Change the Country. ProPublica.
3. White-Cain, P. (2026, April 2). Remarks at the White House Easter Celebration. Office of the Press Secretary.
4. Seattle PI. (2026, April 30). What Trump's post as a Jesus-like figure tells us about political messianism.
5. Wikipedia. (2026). Seven Mountain Mandate.
6. The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints. (2026, April 10). White House Faith Office Leader Visits Church Headquarters. Newsroom.
7. Firstpost America. (2026, April 2). Trump's Spiritual Advisor Compares Him to Jesus Christ. YouTube.
8. Democracy Now! (2024, July 30). Ziklag Exposed: Secretive Christian Nationalist Network Tries to Purge Voters. YouTube.
9. Congressional Research Service. (2026). The 2026 Iran War: Escalation Patterns and Ceasefire Dynamics.
10. Truth Social. (2026, April 20). Executive Posts Regarding Iran, Israel, and Global Security.
11. Scofield, C. I. (1909). The Scofield Reference Bible. Oxford University Press.
12. Wagner, C. P. (2008). Dominion!: How Kingdom Action Can Change the World. Chosen Books.
13. Marsden, G. M. (2006). Fundamentalism and American Culture. Oxford University Press.
14. Specter, M. (2025). The Apostle of the Seven Mountains: C. Peter Wagner and the Rebirth of Dominionism. Journal of Religion and Politics.
15. Boyer, P. (1992). When Time Shall Be No More: Prophecy Belief in Modern American Culture. Harvard University Press.
16. Enlow, J. (2015). The 7 Mountain Prophecy. Creation House.
17. Richardson, J. (2025). Global Dominion: The Geopolitics of the New Apostolic Reformation. International Relations Quarterly.

18. Whitehead, A. L., & Perry, S. L. (2020). Taking America Back for God: Christian Nationalism in the United States. Oxford University Press.
19. EBSCO Research Starters. (2026). Seven Mountain Mandate: Religion and Philosophy.
20. Wikipedia. (2026). 2026 Strait of Hormuz crisis.
21. House of Commons Library. (2026). Israel/US-Iran conflict 2026: Reopening the Strait of Hormuz.
22. The Guardian. (2026, April 28). Middle East crisis: Trump hits back at German chancellor after Merz said Iran was ‘humiliating’ US.
23. Global Extremism. (2026, April 15). Climb Every Mountain: How Christian Nationalism Seeks to Conquer American Democracy.
24. Al Jazeera. (2025, June 25). 12 posts from '12 day war': How Trump live-posted Israel-Iran conflict.
25. Al Jazeera. (2026, May 1). UAE officially quits OPEC group of oil producers.
26. Reuters/Ipsos. (2026, April 28). Approval rating for Trump and Iran war falls to 34%.
27. U.S. Department of State. (2025, May 15). Establishment of the Religious Liberty Commission. Press Release.
28. Haaretz. (2026, March 12). The Ziklag pipeline: How private US billions are rewriting the map of the West Bank.
29. New York Times. (2026, April 19). Behind the blockade: The White House advisors who see Iran as a biblical enemy.

The author has published over 5,300 AI-assisted papers at: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Douglas-Youvan/research . Google Scholar has indexed these preprints, and if you want a particular reference, the AI mode of a Google search (Gemini) has efficiently catalogued these preprints.

GOVERNMENT
MEDIA
FAMILY
RELIGION
EDUCATION
ARTS & ENTERTAINMENT
BUSINESS
THE NEXUS OF DOMINION:
ZIONISM AND THE SEVEN MOUNTAIN
MANDATE IN U.S. GEOPOLITICS